arXiv:1303.6263v1 [math.DG] 25 Mar 2013

CHERN CONNECTION OF A QUADRATIC FINSLER METRIC AS A FAMILY OF AFFINE CONNECTIONS

MIGUEL ANGEL JAVALOYES

This article is dedicated to Professor Lajos Tamassy on the occasion of his 90th birthday

Abstract. We consider the Chern connection of a quadratic Finsler manifold (M, L) as a linear connection ∇^V on any open $\Omega \subset M$ associated to any vector field V on Ω which is non-zero everywhere. This connection is torsion-free and almost metric compatible with respect to the fundamental tensor g. Then we show some properties of the curvature tensor R^V associated to ∇^V and in particular we prove that the Jacobi operator of R^V along a geodesic coincides with the one given by the Chern curvature. Finally we obtain the first and the second variation of the energy functional using ∇^V and R^V and we deduce some properties of Jacobi fields.

1. Introduction

The Chern connection of a Finsler metric F on a manifold M was originally conceived by S.-S. Chern [15] as a connection in a fiber bundle over $TM \setminus \mathbf{0}$. The Chern connection was introduced again independently by H. Rund in [30] (see also [3]) and then it was completely forgotten until the work of D. Bao and S.-S. Chern [5], where the authors show the extraordinary usefulness of the Chern connection in treating global problems of Finsler geometry. In particular, the connection provides an easy way to compute the flag curvature of a Finsler metric, which is an important invariant associated to the deviation of geodesics, but when considered as a connection in a fiber bundle over $TM \setminus \mathbf{0}$, it does not allow one to use the coordinate-free global methods of Modern Differential Geometry employed in the study of Riemannian Geometry. This can be overcome by using the osculating Riemannian metric associated to a Finsler metric introduced by A. Nazim in his Ph. D. thesis [24] and studied sistematically by O. Varga [32]. More precisely, for any $p \in M$ and any non-zero vector v in T_pM, the fundamental tensor provides a scalar product in T_pM. In particular this idea was developed by H.-H. Matthias in his Ph. D. Thesis [22, Def. 2.5] to define an affine connection ∇^V on M for every vector field V on M which is non-zero everywhere. The connection ∇^V is torsion-free and almost g-compatible, meaning that the derivative of the osculating

---

Date: 03.04.2011.

This work was partially supported by MINECO (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad) project MTM2012-34037, Regional J. Andalucía Grant P09-FQM-4496 and Fundación Séneca project 04540/GERM/06, Spain. This research is a result of the activity developed within the framework of the Programme in Support of Excellence Groups of the Región de Murcia, Spain, by Fundación Séneca, Regional Agency for Science and Technology (Regional Plan for Science and Technology 2007-2010).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 53C22, 53C50, 53C60, 58B20

Key words: Finsler, geodesics, Chern connection.

Riemannian metric g_V is not zero, but a certain expression in terms of the Cartan tensor (see (1) and Definition 2.10). The approach of H.-H. Matthias was collected in [31, page 100], where the author shows a relation of the Jacobi operator of the metric g_V in case that V is a geodesic field [31, Proposition 8.4.3 and Lemma 8.1.1] and recovered again by other authors as H-B. Rademacher [28, 29] and Z. Kovacs and A. Toth in [21] and also used by J. C. Álvarez Paiva and C. E. Durán in [2, Theorem 6.1].

None of the cited works makes a detailed study of the properties of the curvature tensor R^V of ∇^V and its relation with the flag curvature when V is not a geodesic field. Our main goal is to write down the symmetries and basic properties of R^V in order to establish the relation of R^V with the flag curvature in the general case when V is not a geodesic field and to use these results to obtain the first and the second variation of the energy functional with coordinate-free global methods.

As one of our main goals is to promote the study of Finsler geometry between researchers of Riemannian background, we have made an special effort to make the paper selfcontained with an unusual amount of details and in some cases repeating computations already known in literature, with the purpose of providing in some cases proofs in a coordiante-free mode or to establish the results in the very general setting of quadratic Finsler metrics, apparently, the most general case where the Chern connection can be defined.

The work is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notion of quadratic Finsler metric, which generalizes the former notions of Finsler metric in the sense that the function is not necessarily positive and it is positive homogeneous of degree two rather than one with non-degenerate fundamental tensor. In particular the square of a Finsler metric is a quadratic Finsler metric and the notions of indefinite Finsler metrics [7, 8] and Finsler spacetimes [26] can fit into this definition. Then we introduce the Cartan tensor associated to (M, L) and an affine connection ∇^V associated to a vector field V in an open subset $\Omega \subset M$ which takes values in $A \cap T\Omega$. This connection is characterized as the unique one which is torsion-free and almost metric compatible (see Definition 2.10). As it is shown in Proposition 2.15, the connection ∇^V can be identified in a certain sense with the Chern connection and it defines a linear connection D_γ^V along any curve γ with a reference vector V along the curve which is non-zero everywhere. In Subsection 2.1 we introduce several basic notions: parallelism of a vector field along a curve, geodesics, namely, curves having parallel tangent vector field, and the exponential map associated to the geodesics.

In Section 3 we firstly study in Proposition 3.1 the symmetric properties of the curvature tensor R^V of ∇^V. In Subsection 3.2 we establish the link between the tensor R^V and the flag curvature of (M, L). Unlike ∇^V, the curvature tensor R^V depends not only on the value of V in $p \in M$, but in the whole vector field in a neighborhood of p. Nevertheless we show that the Jacobi operator can be defined along a curve (see Proposition 3.4) and it coincides with the Jacobi operator obtained from the curvature of the Chern connection as a connection on the fiber bundle $\pi_A^*(TM)$ over the conic subset A when γ is a geodesic (see Proposition 3.5 and Remark 2.5). In the last section we compute the first and the second variation of the energy functional (see Propositions 4.1 and 4.2) and then we compute the index form when the boundary conditions are given by two submanifolds. We also give some properties of Jacobi fields.

2. Quadratic Finsler metrics

Let us introduce the most general notion of Finsler metric that admits a Chern connection. Let M be a manifold and denote by $\pi : TM \to M$ the natural projection of TM into M. Let $A \subset TM \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be a conic open subset of TM, namely, for every $v \in A$ and $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda v \in A$ and such that $\pi(A) = M$. We say that a smooth function $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *quadratic Finsler metric* if

(i) L is positive homogeneous of degree 2, that is, $L(\lambda v) = \lambda^2 L(v)$ for every $v \in A$ and $\lambda > 0$,

(ii) for every $v \in A$, *the fundamental tensor of* L defined as
$$g_v(u,w) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} L(v + tu + sw)|_{t=s=0},$$
for any $u, w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$, is nondegenerate.

It follows straightforward from definition that the fundamental tensor is bilinear and symmetric.

Remark 2.1. We have assumed that the conic subset A does not intersect the zero section. As A is required to be open, the only case in that this assumption can be a limitation is when $A = TM \setminus \mathbf{0}$. But in such a case it is easy to see that L can be extended continuously to the zero section and the definition coincides with the classical definition of Finsler metrics.

Let us discuss several particular cases of quadratic Finsler metrics:

(i) if $A = TM \setminus \mathbf{0}$ and the fundamental tensor is positive definite, then L is positive away from the zero section and $F = \sqrt{L}$ is what traditionally has been called a Finsler metric,

(ii) if $A \subsetneq TM \setminus \mathbf{0}$, but the fundamental tensor is positive, then the positive square $F = \sqrt{L}$ is called a *conic Finsler* metric in [18],

(iii) if the fundamental tensor has index one, then L is called a Lorentz-Finsler metric (see [14, 19]). This is also the case of Finsler spacetimes where some authors ask L to be defined in the whole TM [7, 8, 26].

Remark 2.2. In [18] the concept of conic pseudo-Finsler metrics is introduced. Observe that conic pseudo-Finsler metrics are not allowed to be non-positive away from the zero section and they are positive homogeneous of degree one. Moreover, its fundamental tensor is not necessarily nondegenerate. Nevertheless, if $F : A_1 \subset TM \to [0, +\infty)$ is a conic pseudo-Finsler metric on M and
$$\tilde{A}_1 = \{v \in A_1 \setminus \mathbf{0} : \text{the fundamental tensor } g_v \text{ of } F \text{ is nondegenerate}\},$$
then $L = F^2|_{\tilde{A}_1} : \tilde{A}_1 \to (0, +\infty)$ is a quadratic Finsler metric. Moreover, if $L : A_2 \subset TM \setminus \mathbf{0} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a quadratic Finsler metric on M and $\tilde{A}_2 = \{v \in A_2 : L(v) \neq 0\}$, then $F = \sqrt{|L|}\Big|_{\tilde{A}_2} : \tilde{A}_2 \to (0, +\infty)$ is a conic pseudo-Finsler metric on M (extending F continuously to the zero section if necessary).

Remark 2.3. Even if sometimes the domain of definition can change (see Remark 2.2), from now on with abuse of notation we will omit the subset A when fixing a quadratic Finsler manifold (M, L), assuming that L is defined in A.

Let us enumerate some other properties that follow from the positive homogeneity.

Proposition 2.4. *Given a quadratic Finsler metric L and $v \in A$, the fundamental tensor g_v is positive homogeneous of degree 0, that is, $g_{\lambda v} = g_v$ for $\lambda > 0$. Moreover $g_v(v,v) = L(v)$ and $g_v(v,w) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} L\,(v+zw)\,|_{z=0}$.*

Proof. Let us begin by showing the positive homogeneity of degree zero:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\lambda v}(u,w) &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} L(\lambda v + tu + sw)|_{t=s=0} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} L(v + t\frac{1}{\lambda}u + s\frac{1}{\lambda}w)|_{t=s=0} \\ &= \lambda^2 g_v(\frac{1}{\lambda}u, \frac{1}{\lambda}w) = g_v(u,w) \end{aligned}$$

for every $u, w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$. Moreover,

$$g_v(v,v) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} L(v + sv + tv)|_{t=s=0} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t}(1+s+t)^2|_{t=s=0} L(v) = L(v)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_v(v,w) &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s} L(v + sv + tw)|_{t=s=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial s}\left[(1+s)^2 L\left(v + \frac{t}{1+s}w\right)\right]\Big|_{t=s=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[(1+s)^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} L\left(v + \frac{t}{1+s}w\right)\right]\Big|_{t=s=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[(1+s)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} L\,(v+zw)\right]\Big|_{z=s=0} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} L\,(v+zw)\,|_{z=0}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$, where $z = t/(1+s)$ and $\partial z/\partial s = -z/(1+s)$. $\square$

In Finsler geometry, unlike the Riemannian setting, we need to consider the third derivatives of the metric in order to define a connection. This information is contained in the *Cartan tensor*, which is defined as the trilinear form

$$C_v(w_1,w_2,w_3) = \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{\partial^3}{\partial s_3 \partial s_2 \partial s_1} L\left(v + \sum_{i=1}^{3} s_i w_i\right)\Bigg|_{s_1=s_2=s_3=0}, \tag{1}$$

for $v \in A$ and $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in T_{\pi(v)}M$. Observe that C_v is symmetric, that is, its value does not depend on the order of w_1, w_2 and w_3.

Remark 2.5. Let $\pi_A : A \to M$ be the restriction to A of the natural projection $\pi : TM \to M$. Now let $\pi_A^*(T^*M)$ be the fiber bundle over A induced by the natural projection of the cotangent bundle $\pi^* : T^*M \to M$ through π_A. Observe that the fundamental tensor is a symmetric section of the fiber bundle $\pi_A^*(T^*M) \otimes \pi_A^*(T^*M)$. Moreover, the Cartan tensor is a totally symmetric section of the fiber bundle $\pi_A^*(T^*M) \otimes \pi_A^*(T^*M) \otimes \pi_A^*(T^*M)$.

Furthermore, the Cartan tensor can be obtained from the fundamental tensor as

$$C_v(w_1,w_2,w_3) = \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_{v+zw_1}(w_2,w_3)\Big|_{z=0}.$$

If g is an arbitrary symmetric section of $\pi_A^*(T^*M) \otimes \pi_A^*(T^*M)$ that is positive homogeneous of degree zero ($g_v = g_{\lambda v}$ for $\lambda > 0$) we define its Cartan tensor as above.

Proposition 2.6. *The Cartan tensor is homogeneous of degree* -1*, that is,* $C_{\lambda v} = \frac{1}{\lambda} C_v$ *for any* $v \in A$ *and* $\lambda > 0$*. Moreover,* $C_v(v, w_1, w_2) = 0$ *for every* $w_1, w_2 \in T_{\pi(v)}M$*.*

Proof. Recall that g_v is homogeneous of degree zero in v (see Proposition 2.4). Then, for the homogeneity of C_v:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\lambda v}(w_1, w_2, w_3) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_{\lambda v + z w_1}(w_2, w_3)\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_{v + z\frac{w_1}{\lambda}}(w_2, w_3)\Big|_{z=0} \\ &= C_v(w_1/\lambda, w_2, w_3) = \frac{1}{\lambda} C_v(w_1, w_2, w_3), \end{aligned}$$

for any $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in T_{\pi(v)}M$. For the second property,

$$C_v(v, w_1, w_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_{(1+z)v}(w_1, w_2)\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} g_v(w_1, w_2)\Big|_{z=0} = 0.$$

□

Proposition 2.7. *An arbitrary symmetric section* g *of* $\pi_A^*(T^*M) \otimes \pi_A^*(T^*M)$ *that is positive homogeneous of degree zero comes from a quadratic Finsler metric if and only if its Cartan tensor is symmetric.*

Proof. One implication is trivial. For the other one, assume that g is a symmetric section of $\pi_A^*(T^*M) \otimes \pi_A^*(T^*M)$ that is positive homogeneous of degree zero and such that its associated Cartan tensor is totally symmetric. Define $L(v) = g_v(v, v)$ for any $v \in A$. Observe that $L : A \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive homogeneous of degree two and smooth. Then, we have to prove that

$$g_v(u, w) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 L(v + tu + sw)}{\partial t \partial s}\Big|_{t=s=0}$$

for every $v \in A$ and $u, w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} L(v + tu + sw)\Big|_{s=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{v+tu+sw}(v + tu + sw, v + tu + sw)\Big|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{v+tu+sw}(v + tu, v + tu)\Big|_{s=0} \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(s g_{v+tu+sw}(v + tu, w))\Big|_{s=0} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(s^2 g_{v+tu+sw}(w, w))\Big|_{s=0} \\ &= C_{v+tu}(w, v + tu, v + tu) + 2 g_{v+tu}(v + tu, w) \\ &= 2 g_{v+tu}(v + tu, w), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation we have used the symmetry of C_{v+tu} and Proposition 2.6. Now using the last equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 L(v + tu + sw)}{\partial t \partial s}\Big|_{t=s=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{v+tu}(v + tu, w)\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{v+tu}(v, w)\Big|_{t=0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(t g_{v+tu}(u, w))\Big|_{t=0} \\ &= C_v(u, v, w) + g_v(u, w) = g_v(u, w), \end{aligned}$$

using again the symmetry of C_v and Proposition 2.6, which concludes. $\square$

Remark 2.8. The result in Proposition 2.7 is well-known. It appears for example in [4, Theorem 3.4.2.1]. An arbitrary symmetric section g of $\pi_A^*(T^*M)\otimes\pi_A^*(T^*M)$ that is positive homogeneous of degree zero is usually known as a generalized metric and it was introduced by A. Moor in 1956 [23] and popularized by R. Miron's school (Iasi, Romania) and J. Kern [20]. Unfortunately, the Chern connection is not well-defined for generalized metrics unless they come from a quadratic Finsler metric. This is because the following remark is essential to prove existence of a connection which is torsion-free and almost metric compatible (see Proposition 2.12).

Remark 2.9. In the case of a quadratic Finsler manifold (M,L), the Cartan tensor is symmetric. This together with Proposition 2.6 means that $C_v(v,w_1,w_2)=C_v(w_1,v,w_2)=C_v(w_1,w_2,v)=0$ for any $v\in A$ and $w_1,w_2\in T_{\pi(v)}M$.

Given a quadratic Finsler manifold (M,L) with conic domain $A\subset TM\setminus\mathbf{0}$, we will say that a vector field V on an open subset $\Omega\subset M$ is *L-admissible* if $V(x)\in A\cap T_xM$ for every $x\in\Omega$.

Definition 2.10. Let (M,L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold and V an L-admissible vector field on an open subset $\Omega\subset M$. Consider an affine connection ∇^V on Ω. We say that

(1) ∇^V is *torsion-free* if

$$\nabla^V_XY-\nabla^V_YX=[X,Y]$$

for every vector fields X and Y on Ω,

(2) ∇^V is *almost g-compatible* if

$$X(g_V(Y,Z))=g_V(\nabla^V_XY,Z)+g_V(Y,\nabla^V_XZ)+2C_V(\nabla^V_XV,Y,Z),$$

where X, Y and Z are vector fields on Ω and g_V and C_V are, respectively, the fundamental tensor and the Cartan tensor of L evaluated on the vector field V.

Remark 2.11. Observe that the condition of almost g-compatibility for the quadratic metric L given above is equivalent to the equation

$$\nabla^V_X(g_V)(Y,Z)=2C_V(\nabla^V_XV,Y,Z),$$

namely, the derivative of g_V is expressed in terms of Cartan tensor.

Proposition 2.12. *A quadratic Finsler manifold (M,L) and an L-admissible vector field V on an open subset $\Omega\subset M$ admit a unique torsion-free and almost g-compatible affine connection ∇^V.*

Proof. Observe that ∇^V is determined by a "Koszul formula" as

$$\begin{aligned}2g_V(\nabla^V_XY,Z)&=X(g_V(Y,Z))-Z(g_V(X,Y))+Y(g_V(Z,X))\\&\quad+g_V([X,Y],Z)+g_V([Z,X],Y)-g_V([Y,Z],X)\\&\qquad 2(-C_V(\nabla^V_XV,Y,Z)-C_V(\nabla^V_YV,Z,X)+C_V(\nabla^V_ZV,X,Y)).\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, as particular cases of Koszul formula, using Remark 2.9, we get

$$2g_V(\nabla^V_VV,Z)=2V(g_V(V,Z))-Z(g_V(V,V))+2g_V([Z,V],V)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}2g_V(\nabla^V_X V, Z) = X(g_V(V,Z)) - Z(g_V(X,V)) + V(g_V(Z,X)) + g_V([X,V],Z)\\ + g_V([Z,X],V) - g_V([V,Z],X) - 2C_V(\nabla^V_V V, Z, X),\end{aligned}$$

which successively determines $\nabla^V_V V$ and $\nabla^V_X V$. Moreover, it follows from the second equation that $\nabla^V_X V$ is f-linear in X, that is, $\nabla^V_{fX} V = f\nabla^V_X V$ for any real function f on U. Then it is clear that Koszul formula determines $\nabla^V_X Y$ for any vector fields X, Y on U and it is an affine linear connection. ☐

Remark 2.13. Observe that ∇^V is homogeneous of degree zero in V in the sense that if $\lambda > 0$, then $\nabla^{\lambda V} = \nabla^V$, since $\nabla^{\lambda V}$ solves the same equations as ∇^V (see Definition 2.10).

Let us denote by n the dimension of M. Now fix a coordinate system on an open subset Ω of M, that is, a map $\varphi : \Omega \to \varphi(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, given by $\varphi(p) = (x^1(p), x^2(p), \ldots, x^n(p))$ for every $p \in \Omega$ and denote as $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$, the vector fields associated to the system, that is, the partial derivatives of φ^{-1} (composed with φ in order to have vector fields in Ω), which we will call the *coordinate basis associated to* φ. We define *the formal Christoffel symbols* associated to φ and the vector field V, $\Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)$, by means of the equation

$$\nabla^V_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k},$$

for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Remark 2.14. Let us denote by $g_{ij}(v) = g_v(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})$ the functions defined for any $v \in T\Omega \cap A$. Moreover, g^{ij} will be the coefficients of the inverse matrix of $\{g_{ij}\}$ with $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. From now on we will use the *Einstein summation convention* consisting in omitting the sums from 1 to n when an index appears up and down, and we will raise and lower indices using g_{ij} and g^{ij}, for example

$$\Gamma_{kij}(V) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_{km}(V)\Gamma^m_{\ ij}(V) = g_{km}(V)\Gamma^m_{\ ij}(V),$$

for any L-admissible vector field V on Ω. Moreover, j in $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ will be considered a down index and then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} = \Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

In principle, $\Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)$ depends on the vector field V, but let us see that this is not the case and in fact they are homogeneous real functions of degree zero on $A \cap T\Omega$ (see Remark 2.13).

Given a curve $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$, we will define the vector bundle $\gamma^*(TM)$ as the vector bundle over $[a,b]$ induced by $\pi : TM \to M$ through γ. The smooth sections of $\gamma^*(TM)$ are called vector fields along γ and we will denote by $\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ the subset of such smooth sections. We will say that $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ is *L-admissible* if $V(\gamma(t)) \in A$ for every $t \in [a,b]$.

Proposition 2.15. *Let (M, L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold and V an L-admissible vector field in an open subset $\Omega \subset M$ endowed with a system of coordinates*

φ. Then the Christoffel symbols of ∇^V depend only on $v = V(x)$, with $x \in \Omega$, and not on the extension of v. Moreover, they coincide with the Christoffel symbols of the Chern connection (see [6, Eq. (2.4.9)]*). Given a smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ and W an L-admissible vector field along γ, we can define the covariant derivative of X along γ having W as reference vector as*

$$D^W_\gamma X = \frac{\mathrm{d}X^i}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + X^i(t)\dot\gamma^j(t)\Gamma^{\ k}_{i\ j}(W(t))\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \tag{2}$$

where $(X^1, \ldots, X^n)$ and $(\dot\gamma^1, \ldots, \dot\gamma^n)$ are respectively the coordinates of X and $\dot\gamma$ in the coordinate basis of φ. Moreover, it is almost metric compatible, namely, if $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} g_W(X, Y) = g_W(D^W_\gamma X, Y) + g_W(X, D^W_\gamma Y) + 2C_W(D^W_\gamma W, X, Y). \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let us observe that the functions g_{ij} are defined in $A \cap T\Omega$ and we will consider the natural coordinate system in $T\Omega$ associated to $x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^n$, which will be denoted as $x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^n, y^1, y^2, \ldots, y^n$. We will denote by $V^1, \ldots, V^n$ the coordinates of V in (Ω, φ). Observe that

$$\frac{\partial(g_{ij} \circ \varphi^{-1})(x, V^1(x), \ldots, V^n(x))}{\partial x^k} = \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{\partial V^l}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^l} = \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} + 2\frac{\partial V^l}{\partial x^k} C_{lij}, \tag{4}$$

where $C_{lij} = C_V(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j})$ and $x = (x^1, \ldots, x^n) \in \varphi(\Omega)$. With abuse of notation we have omitted the composition with φ^{-1} and the point of evaluation in the right-hand terms and in the rest of the proof. Now from Koszul formula for $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ and $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ and (4), we obtain

$$\Gamma_{kji} = \gamma_{kji} - V^l \Gamma^{\ p}_{i\ l} C_{pkj} - V^l \Gamma^{\ p}_{j\ l} C_{pik} + V^l \Gamma^{\ p}_{k\ l} C_{pji}, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\gamma_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^j}\right).$$

Observe that by Remark 2.9, $V^l C_{lij} = 0$ and C_{ijk} is symmetric in the three indexes. Then $V^i V^j \Gamma_{kji} = V^i V^j \gamma_{kij}$ and raising indices we get

$$V^i V^j \Gamma^{\ k}_{j\ i} = V^i V^j \gamma^{\ k}_{i\ j}. \tag{6}$$

From (5) and using (6) we conclude that

$$V^i \Gamma^{\ s}_{j\ i} = g^{ks} V^i \Gamma_{kji} = V^i \gamma^{\ s}_{j\ i} - V^l V^i \gamma^{\ p}_{l\ i} g^{ks} C_{pjk} := N^s_{\ j}, \tag{7}$$

where the quantities $N^s_{\ j}$ are the coefficients of the nonlinear connection associated to L (see [6, Eq. (2.3.2a)]). Finally, using the last expression and (5),

$$\Gamma^{\ s}_{j\ i} = \gamma^{\ s}_{j\ i} + g^{ks}\left(-N^p_{\ i} C_{pjk} - N^p_{\ j} C_{pki} + N^p_{\ k} C_{pij}\right). \tag{8}$$

It is clear that Christoffel symbols depend just on the vector $V(x)$ and not in the vector field V, since they do not depend on the derivatives of V. This allows one to define a covariant derivative along a curve γ by fixing a vector field W along the curve. In order to check (3), observe that if $\dot\gamma(t) \neq 0$, then $D^W_\gamma X = \nabla^{\tilde W}_{\dot\gamma} \tilde X$ for any extensions $\tilde X$ and $\tilde W$ of X and W and (3) follows from the definition of $\nabla^{\tilde W}$.

Assume now that $\dot\gamma(t) = 0$. First observe that $D^W_\gamma Z(t) = \frac{dZ^i}{dt}(t)\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\big|_{\gamma(t)}$ for any $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ of coordinates $Z^1, \dots, Z^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(g_W(X,Y)) &= \frac{d}{dt}(X^iY^jg_{ij}(W))\\ &= \frac{dX^i}{dt}Y^jg_{ij}(W) + X^i\frac{dY^j}{dt}g_{ij}(W) + X^iY^j\frac{dg_{ij}(W)}{dt}\\ &= g_W(D^W_\gamma X, Y) + g_W(X, D^W_\gamma Y) + X^iY^j\frac{dW^k}{dt}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}(W)\\ &= g_W(D^W_\gamma X, Y) + g_V(X, D^W_\gamma Y) + 2C_V(D^W_\gamma W, X, Y),\end{aligned}$$

as required. To check that the definition does not depend on the system of coordinates is left to the author (see also Remark 2.16) □

Remark 2.16. Observe that the covariant derivative along γ with reference an L-admissible vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ which has been defined in Proposition 2.15 can be also defined as the unique map $D^V_\gamma : \mathfrak{X}(\gamma) \to \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$, such that

(i) $D^V_\gamma(aZ_1 + bZ_2) = aD^V_\gamma Z_1 + bD^V_\gamma Z_2$, for $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,
(ii) $D^V_\gamma(hZ) = \frac{dh}{dt}Z + hD^V_\gamma Z$, for $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ and $h \in \mathcal{F}([a,b])$,
(iii) $D^V_\gamma X(\gamma) = \nabla^V_{\dot\gamma} X$ for $t \in [a,b]$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

where $\mathcal{F}([a,b])$ is the subset of smooth real functions on $[a,b]$, $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the subset of smooth vector fields on M and $X(\gamma)$ is the vector field along γ defined as $X(t) = X(\gamma(t))$ for every $t \in [a,b]$. See also [25, Proposition 3.18].

2.1. **Parallel vector fields, geodesics and the exponential map.** Once we have defined the covariant derivative, we can introduce the concept of parallelism along a curve. In principle, we can choose any vector field along the curve as a reference vector to compute the covariant derivative, but there is one distinguished reference vector, which is the velocitiy of the curve, but this is not always possible, since the velocity of the curve could not belong to A. We will introduce a special class of curves.

Definition 2.17. Let (M, L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold. We say that a piecewise smooth curve $\gamma : [a,b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ is L-admissible if $\dot\gamma(t) \in A$ for any $t \in [a,b]$ (in the break points, both velocities must belong to A).

Definition 2.18. Let (M, L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold and X a vector field along a smooth L-admissible curve $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$. We say that X is *parallel* if $D^{\dot\gamma}_\gamma X = 0$.

Proposition 2.19. *Let (M, L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold and $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ an L-admissible smooth curve. Then for every $w \in A_{\dot\gamma(a)} = T_{\dot\gamma(a)}M \cap A$ there is a unique parallel vector field X along γ such that $X(a) = w$.*

Proof. In a system of coordinates, a vector field along γ, $X(t) = X^i(t)\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\big|_{\gamma(t)}$ is parallel if and only if

$$\frac{dX^i}{dt} = -\dot\gamma^j X^k {\Gamma^i}_{jk}(\dot\gamma)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and by the results of existence and uniqueness of ordinary differential equations, there exists a unique X satisfying last equation such that $X(a) = w$. □

Definition 2.20. We say that a smooth curve $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ is a *geodesic* of the quadratic Finsler manifold (M,L) if $\dot\gamma$ is parallel along γ.

Proposition 2.21. *Let (M,L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold. For every $v \in A$ there exists a unique geodesic $\gamma_v : [0,b) \to M$ such that $\dot\gamma(0) = v$, $b \in (0,+\infty]$.*

Proof. Let $x^1,\dots,x^n$ be a coordinate system in $\Omega \subset M$, such that $\pi(v) \in \Omega$. Then γ is a geodesic if and only if

$$\frac{d\dot\gamma^i}{dt} = -\dot\gamma^j \dot\gamma^k {\Gamma^i}_{jk}(\dot\gamma) \tag{9}$$

for $i = 1,\dots,n$. By existence and uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations, there exists a unique geodesic γ with the initial conditions $\gamma(0) = \pi(v)$ and $\dot\gamma(0) = v$. □

Definition 2.22. Given $p \in M$, let us define the subset $U \subset A_p = A \cap T_pM$ as the vectors $v \in A_p$ such that if $\gamma_v : [0,b) \to M$ is the unique geodesic such that $\gamma(0) = v$, and the interval $[0,b)$ is its maximal interval of definition to the right, then $b > 1$. Then the exponential map

$$\exp_p : U \subset A_p \to M$$

is defined as $\exp_p(v) = \gamma_v(1)$ for every $v \in U$.

Proposition 2.23. *The domain of $\exp_p$ is an open subset of A_p and $\exp_p$ is smooth in A_p. Moreover, when $A_p = T_pM \setminus \mathbf{0}$, the exponential is defined in an open subset of 0_p, putting $\exp_p(0_p) = p$.*

Proof. It follows again from (9) and the smooth dependence of the solutions of ordinary differential equations with respect to parameters. □

3. CURVATURE

Along this section we will fix a quadratic Finsler manifold (M,L) and an L-admissible vector field V defined in an open subset $\Omega \subset M$, being ∇^V the Chern connection of (M,L) having V as a reference vector field. We can define now the curvature associated to the affine connection ∇^V as a tensor $(1,3)$ in the open subset $\Omega \subset M$ defined by

$$R^V(X,Y)Z = \nabla^V_X \nabla^V_Y Z - \nabla^V_Y \nabla^V_X Z - \nabla^V_{[X,Y]} Z$$

for every $X,Y,Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$. It is straightforward to check that R^V is a tensor. The curvature tensor satisfies some symmetries with respect to the metric g_V. We will need the covariant derivative of the Cartan tensor to express these symmetries. This covariant derivative $\nabla^V C_V$ is a $(0,4)$ tensor defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^V_X C_V(Y,Z,W) = X(C_V(Y,Z,W)) - C_V(\nabla^V_X Y, Z, W)&\\ - C_V(Y,\nabla^V_X Z, W) - C_V(Y,W,\nabla^V_X W)&,\end{aligned}$$

for every $X,Y,Z,W \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$. It follows easily that $\nabla^V_X C_V$ is trilinear, symmetric and

$$\nabla^V_X C_V(V,Z,W) = -C_V(\nabla^V_X V, Z, W). \tag{10}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X,Y,Z,W \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$, then*

(i) $R^V(X,Y) = -R^V(Y,X)$,

(ii) $g_V(R^V(X,Y)Z,W)+g_V(R^V(X,Y)W,Z)=2B^V(X,Y,Z,W)$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned}B^V(X,Y,Z,W)=\nabla^V_Y C_V(\nabla^V_X V,Z,W)-\nabla^V_X C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,Z,W)&\\ +C_V(R^V(Y,X)V,Z,W)&,\end{aligned}$$

(iii) $R^V(X,Y)Z+R^V(Y,Z)X+R^V(Z,X)Y=0$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}&g_V(R^V(X,Y)Z,W)-g_V(R^V(Z,W)X,Y)=\\ &\qquad B^V(Z,Y,X,W)+B^V(X,Z,Y,W)+B^V(W,X,Z,Y)\\ &\qquad\quad +B^V(Y,W,Z,X)+B^V(W,Z,X,Y)+B^V(X,Y,Z,W).\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Proof. As the identities are tensorial, we can assume that the brackets beween all the vector fields (excluding V) are zero. The first identity follows immediately. For the second one, using the definition of R^V and that ∇^V is almost metric g-compatible, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&g_V(R^V(X,Y)Z,W)+g_V(R^V(X,Y)W,Z)=\\
&\quad g_V(\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y Z-\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X Z,W)+g_V(\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y W-\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X W,Z)\\
&\quad =X(g_V(\nabla^V_Y Z,W))-g_V(\nabla^V_Y Z,\nabla^V_X W)-2C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y Z,W)\\
&\quad -Y(g_V(\nabla^V_X Z,W))+g_V(\nabla^V_X Z,\nabla^V_Y W)+2C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X Z,W)\\
&\quad +X(g_V(\nabla^V_Y W,Z))-g_V(\nabla^V_Y W,\nabla^V_X Z)-2C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y W,Z)\\
&\quad -Y(g_V(\nabla^V_X W,Z))+g_V(\nabla^V_X W,\nabla^V_Y Z)+2C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X W,Z)\\
&\quad =X(g_V(\nabla^V_Y Z,W)+g_V(\nabla^V_Y W,Z))-Y(g_V(\nabla^V_X Z,W)+g_V(\nabla^V_X W,Z))\\
&\quad -2C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y Z,W)+2C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X Z,W)\\
&\quad -2C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y W,Z)+2C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X W,Z)\\
&\quad =X(Y(g_V(Z,W))-2C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,Z,W))-Y(X(g_V(W,Z))-2C_V(\nabla^V_X V,W,Z))\\
&\quad -2C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y Z,W)+2C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X Z,W)\\
&\quad -2C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y W,Z)+2C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X W,Z)\\
&\quad =[X,Y](g_V(Z,W))+2(-\nabla^V_X C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,W,Z)-C_V(\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y V,Z,W)\\
&\quad -C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X Z,W)-C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,Z,\nabla^V_X W)+\nabla^V_Y C_V(\nabla^V_X V,W,Z)\\
&\quad +C_V(\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X V,Z,W)+C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y Z,W)+C_V(\nabla^V_X V,Z,\nabla^V_Y W)\\
&\quad -C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y Z,W)+C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X Z,W)\\
&\quad -C_V(\nabla^V_X V,\nabla^V_Y W,Z)+C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,\nabla^V_X W,Z))\\
&\quad =2(\nabla^V_Y C_V(\nabla^V_X V,W,Z))-\nabla^V_X C_V(\nabla^V_Y V,W,Z)+C_V(R^V(Y,X)V,Z,W),\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to prove (since C_V and $\nabla^V_E C_V$ are symmetric for any $E\in\mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$). For the third identity, just compute

$$\begin{aligned}R^V(X,Y)Z+R^V(Y,Z)X+R^V(Z,X)Y&=\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y Z-\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X Z+\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_Z X\\
&\quad-\nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_Y X+\nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_X Y-\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Z Y\\
&=\nabla^V_X([Y,Z])+\nabla^V_Y([Z,X])-\nabla^V_Z([Y,X])=0.\end{aligned}$$

To check (11), use the third identity to deduce the following four ones,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_V(R^V(X,W)Y + R^V(W,Y)X + R^V(Y,X)W, Z) = 0,\\
g_V(R^V(X,Y)Z + R^V(Y,Z)X + R^V(Z,X)Y, W) = 0.\\
g_V(R^V(X,W)Z + R^V(W,Z)X + R^V(Z,X)W, Y) = 0,\\
g_V(R^V(Y,Z)W + R^V(Z,W)Y + R^V(W,Y)Z, X) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then summing up the four identities and using the symmetries of parts (i) and (ii), it comes out

$$\begin{aligned}
&2g_V(R^V(X,Y)Z,W) - 2g_V(R^V(Z,W)X,Y)+\\
&\qquad 2B^V(Y,Z,X,W) + 2B^V(Z,X,Y,W) + 2B^V(X,W,Z,Y)\\
&\qquad\quad + 2B^V(W,Y,Z,X) + 2B^V(Z,W,X,Y) + 2B^V(Y,X,Z,W) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that B^V is anti-symmetric in the two first components and symmetric in the two last ones we get (11). □

Having at hand the affine connection ∇^V we can compute the derivative of any tensor. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^V_X R^V(Y,Z)W = {}& \nabla^V_X(R^V(Y,Z)W)\\
&- R^V(\nabla^V_X Y, Z)W - R^V(Y, \nabla^V_X Z)W - R^V(Y,Z)(\nabla^V_X W),
\end{aligned}$$

for every $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 3.2 (Second Bianchi Identity). *Given $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$, it holds*

$$\nabla^V_Z R^V(X,Y) + \nabla^V_X R^V(Y,Z) + \nabla^V_Y R^V(Z,X) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Proof. As the identity is tensorial we can assume that brackets are zero (excluding those involving V). Given $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla^V_Z R^V(X,Y)W + \nabla^V_X R^V(Y,Z)W + \nabla^V_Y R^V(Z,X)W\\
&= \nabla^V_Z(R^V(X,Y)W) - R^V(\nabla^V_Z X, Y)W - R^V(X, \nabla^V_Z Y)W - R^V(X,Y)(\nabla^V_Z W)\\
&\quad + \nabla^V_X(R^V(Y,Z)W) - R^V(\nabla^V_X Y, Z)W - R^V(Y, \nabla^V_X Z)W - R^V(Y,Z)(\nabla^V_X W)\\
&\quad + \nabla^V_Y(R^V(Z,X)W) - R^V(\nabla^V_Y Z, X)W - R^V(Z, \nabla^V_Y X)W - R^V(Z,X)(\nabla^V_Y W).
\end{aligned}$$

Some of the terms cancel because brackets are assumed to be zero. For example, the second term of the second line and the third term of the third line cancel as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
- R^V(\nabla^V_Z X, Y)W - R^V(Y, \nabla^V_X Z)W &= -R^V(\nabla^V_Z X, Y)W + R^V(\nabla^V_X Z, Y)W\\
&= R^V([X,Z],Y)W = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used that R^V is anti-symmetric in the first two variables. After applying these cancellations we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^V_Z R^V(X,Y)W &+ \nabla^V_X R^V(Y,Z)W + \nabla^V_Y R^V(Z,X)W\\ &= \nabla^V_Z(R^V(X,Y)W) - R^V(X,Y)(\nabla^V_Z W)\\ &\quad + \nabla^V_X(R^V(Y,Z)W) - R^V(Y,Z)(\nabla^V_X W)\\ &\quad + \nabla^V_Y(R^V(Z,X)W) - R^V(Z,X)(\nabla^V_Y W)\\ &= \nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y W - \nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X W - \nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_Z W + \nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Z W\\ &\quad + \nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_Z W - \nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_Y W - \nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_X W + \nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X W\\ &\quad + \nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_X W - \nabla^V_Y\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Z W - \nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Y W + \nabla^V_X\nabla^V_Z\nabla^V_Y W = 0,\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

3.1. **Two parameters maps.** Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying the interval condition, namely, horizontal and vertical lines of $\mathbb{R}^2$ intersect $\mathcal{D}$ in intervals. A two-parameter map is a smooth map $\Lambda : \mathcal{D} \to M$. We will use the following notation:

1. the t-parameter curve of Λ in s_0 is the curve γ_{s_0} defined as $t \to \gamma_{s_0}(t) = \Lambda(t, s_0)$
2. the s parameter curve of Λ in t_0 is the curve β_{t_0} defined as $s \to \beta_{t_0}(s) = \Lambda(t_0, s)$.

Moreover, we will denote by $\Lambda_t(t,s) = \dot\gamma_s(t)$ and $\Lambda_s(t,s) = \dot\beta_t(s)$. Let us define $\Lambda^*(TM)$ as the vector bundle over $\mathcal{D}$ induced by $\pi : TM \to M$ through Λ. Then we denote the subset of smooth sections of $\Lambda^*(TM)$ as $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda)$. Observe that a vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\Lambda)$ induces vector fields in $\mathfrak{X}(\gamma_{s_0})$ and $\mathfrak{X}(\beta_{t_0})$. We will say that V is L-admissible if $V(t,s) \in A$ for every $(t,s) \in \mathcal{D}$. When Λ lies in the domain of a coordinate system $x^1, \dots, x^n$, we will denote $\Lambda^i = x^i \circ \Lambda$.

Proposition 3.3. *With the above notation, if $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\Lambda)$ is L-admissible, then $D^V_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t = D^V_{\beta_t}\dot\gamma_s$.*

Proof. Using Proposition 2.15 we get

$$D^V_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t = \left(\frac{\partial^2\Lambda^k}{\partial t\partial s} + \Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)\frac{\partial\Lambda^i}{\partial s}\frac{\partial\Lambda^j}{\partial t}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$$

and

$$D^V_{\beta_t}\dot\gamma_s = \left(\frac{\partial^2\Lambda^k}{\partial s\partial t} + \Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)\frac{\partial\Lambda^i}{\partial t}\frac{\partial\Lambda^j}{\partial s}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

Both quantities coincide because $\Gamma^k_{\ ij}(V)$ is symmetric in i, j and $\frac{\partial^2\Lambda^k}{\partial s\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2\Lambda^k}{\partial t\partial s}$. □

3.2. **Jacobi operator and Flag curvature.** In a fixed point $p \in M$, the curvature tensor R^V depends not only on $V(p)$ but on the extension V. Let us see that the quantity $R^V(V,U)W$ depends only on the value of V along the integral curve of V.

Proposition 3.4. *Let (M, L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold, $\gamma : (a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon) \to M$ an L-admissible smooth curve and $u, w \in T_{\gamma(a)}M$. Let V be an L-admissible extension of $\dot\gamma$ and U and W extensions of u and w. Then*

$$R^\gamma(\dot\gamma(a), u)w = R^V_{\gamma(a)}(V, U)W$$

is well-defined, namely, it does not depend on the extensions used to compute it.

Proof. As the result is local, we can assume that the image of γ is contained in an open subset Ω that admits a system of coordinates (Ω,φ). First assume that V and U are the variational vector fields of the two-parametric variation of γ, $\Lambda:(a-\varepsilon,a+\varepsilon)\times(-\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1)\to M$, $(t,s)\to\Lambda(t,s)$, namely, $V(\Lambda(t,s))=\Lambda_t(t,s)$ and $U(\Lambda(t,s))=\Lambda_s(t,s)$ for every $(t,s)\in(a-\varepsilon,a+\varepsilon)\times(-\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1)$ and the image of Λ lies in Ω (recall notation in Subsection 3.1). We can also assume that the curves γ_s are L-admissible for $s\in(-\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1)$ by taking ε_1 small enough and that $W\in\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda)$. We will denote by W^i the coordinates of W in (Ω,φ), being W^i_t and W^i_s the partial derivatives with respect to the parameters of the variation t and s. Then using (2) twice we get

$$\begin{aligned}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\beta_t}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\gamma_s}W=\Big[&W^k_{ts}+W^i_s\Lambda^j_t\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)+W^i\Lambda^j_{ts}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)\\&+W^i\Lambda^j_t\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)+W^l_t\Lambda^m_s\Gamma^k{}_{lm}(\Lambda_t)\\&+W^i\Lambda^j_t\Lambda^m_s\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)\Gamma^k{}_{lm}(\Lambda_t)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\gamma_s}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\beta_t}W=\Big[&W^k_{st}+W^i_t\Lambda^j_s\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)+W^i\Lambda^j_{st}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)\\&+W^i\Lambda^j_s\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)+W^l_s\Lambda^m_t\Gamma^k{}_{lm}(\Lambda_t)\\&+W^i\Lambda^j_s\Lambda^m_t\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)\Gamma^k{}_{lm}(\Lambda_t)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\gamma_s}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\beta_t}W-D^{\Lambda_t}_{\beta_t}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\gamma_s}W=\Big[&W^i\Lambda^j_s\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)-W^i\Lambda^j_t\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)\\&+W^i\Lambda^j_s\Lambda^m_t\left(\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)\Gamma^k{}_{lm}(\Lambda_t)-\Gamma^l{}_{im}(\Lambda_t)\Gamma^k{}_{lj}(\Lambda_t)\right)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

Define $\tilde\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(p)=\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(V(p))$ for every $p\in\Omega$ and observe that $\tilde\Gamma^l{}_{ij}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the affine connection ∇^V in Ω. In particular, we have that $\tilde\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda)=\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)$. Taking into account that $[V,U]=0$ (at least in the points $\Lambda(t,s)$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}R^V(V,U)W=D^V_VD^V_UW-D^V_UD^V_VW=&\\\Big[&W^i\Lambda^j_s\Lambda^p_t\frac{\partial\tilde\Gamma^k{}_{ij}}{\partial x^p}(\Lambda)-W^i\Lambda^j_t\Lambda^p_s\frac{\partial\tilde\Gamma^k{}_{ij}}{\partial x^p}(\Lambda)\\&+W^i\Lambda^j_s\Lambda^m_t\Big(\tilde\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda)\tilde\Gamma^k{}_{lm}(\Lambda)-\tilde\Gamma^l{}_{im}(\Lambda)\tilde\Gamma^k{}_{lj}(\Lambda)\Big)\Big]\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.\end{aligned}$$

As $\tilde\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda)=\Gamma^l{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)=\Lambda^p_t\frac{\partial\tilde\Gamma^k{}_{ij}}{\partial x^p}(\Lambda)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(\Lambda_t)=\Lambda^p_s\frac{\partial\tilde\Gamma^k{}_{ij}}{\partial x^p}(\Lambda)$, we conclude that

$$R^V(V,U)W=D^{\Lambda_t}_{\gamma_s}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\beta_t}W-D^{\Lambda_t}_{\beta_t}D^{\Lambda_t}_{\gamma_s}W$$

as required. Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Gamma^k_{\ ij}(\Lambda_t) = \Lambda^p_t \frac{\partial \Gamma^k_{\ ij}}{\partial x^p}(\Lambda_t) + \Lambda^p_{tt}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k_{\ ij}}{\partial y^p}(\Lambda_t), \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Gamma^k_{\ ij}(\Lambda_t) = \Lambda^p_s \frac{\partial \Gamma^k_{\ ij}}{\partial x^p}(\Lambda_t) + \Lambda^p_{ts}\frac{\partial \Gamma^k_{\ ij}}{\partial y^p}(\Lambda_t). \tag{15}$$

Here recall that $x^1,\dots,x^n,y^1,\dots,y^n$ is the natural coordinate system of $T\Omega$ associated to the coordinate system (Ω,φ). From the above equations and (13), it follows that $R^V(V,U)W$ depends only on the curve γ and the values of the vector fields U and W along γ. Now given any vector field V extending $\dot\gamma$, observe that the value of $R^V(V,U)W$ does not depend on the extensions U and W of the vectors $u,w\in T_{\gamma(a)}M$. It is always possible to get extensions which are the variational vector fields of a two-parametric map and W a smooth vector field on it. Indeed, consider a system of coordinates adapted to V in a neighborhood Ω of $\gamma(a)$ small enough, in the sense that $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}$ in this neighborhood. If $u=a^i\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\big|_{\gamma(a)}$ and $w=b^i\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\big|_{\gamma(a)}$, then $U=a^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $W=b^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ are the required extensions in $\Omega\subset M$. □

Let us recall that the Chern connection can also be interpreted as a connection in the fiber bundle $\pi^*_A:\pi^*_A(TM)\to A$ (see Remark 2.5) and we can define the curvature 2-forms associated to this connection. In particular, the horizontal part of these 2-forms is the so-called *hh*-curvature tensor (see [6, Chapter 3]), which in coordinates is written as

$$R_v(V,U)W = V^jU^kW^lR_j{}^i{}_{kl}(v)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \tag{16}$$

for $v\in A$ and $V=V^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, U=U^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, W=W^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ vector fields in $\Omega\subset M$, where

$$\begin{aligned} R_j{}^i{}_{kl}(v) = \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{\ jl}}{\partial x^k}(v) - N^p_{\ k}(v)\frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{\ jl}}{\partial y^p}(v) - \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{\ jk}}{\partial x^l}(v) + N^p_{\ l}(v)\frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{\ jk}}{\partial y^p}(v) \\ + \Gamma^i_{\ hk}(v)\Gamma^h_{\ jl}(v) - \Gamma^i_{\ hl}(v)\Gamma^h_{\ jk}(v), \end{aligned}$$

and $N^p_{\ k}$ has been defined in (7) (see [6, Formula (3.3.2) and Exercise 3.9.6]). Now given a system of coordinates (Ω,φ), for every L-admissible smooth curve $\gamma:[a,b]\to\Omega\subset M$, define

$$H_\gamma(U,W) = U^iW^j(D^{\dot\gamma}_\gamma\dot\gamma)^p\frac{\partial \Gamma^k_{\ ij}}{\partial y^p}(\dot\gamma)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

It is easy to see that the definition does not depend on the choice of coordinates and then we can define a symmetric tensor $H_\gamma:\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)\times\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)\to\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$ for every L-admissible smooth curve $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$ that does not lie necessarily in a domain of coordinates.

Proposition 3.5. *Let (M,L) be a quadratic Finsler manifold. Consider an L-admissible smooth curve $\gamma:(a-\varepsilon,a+\varepsilon)\to M$. With the above notation*

$$R^\gamma(\dot\gamma(a),u)w = R_{\dot\gamma(a)}(\dot\gamma(a),u)w + H_\gamma(u,w) \tag{17}$$

for any $u,w\in T_{\gamma(a)}M$.

Proof. First observe that we can choose any extension of u to the curve γ. In particular, we can choose a parallel vector field $U = U^i(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\big|_{\gamma(t)}$ along γ, which satisfies $\frac{dU^k}{dt} = -U^i\dot\gamma^j{\Gamma^k}_{ij}(\dot\gamma)$. Moreover, $\frac{d\dot\gamma^k}{dt} = (D_\gamma^{\dot\gamma}\dot\gamma)^k - \dot\gamma^i\dot\gamma^j{\Gamma^k}_{ij}(\dot\gamma)$. Let Λ be a two-parametric variation of γ such that $\dot\beta_t = U$ and γ_s are L-admissible curves (recall notation of Subsection 3.1). As $\Lambda^p_{tt} = \frac{d\dot\gamma^p}{dt}$ and $\Lambda^p_{ts} = \frac{dU^p}{dt}$, substituting the last formulae in (14) and (15), these equations in (13) and making $s = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, u)w = \Bigg[& u^i w^j \left(\dot\gamma^p \frac{\partial {\Gamma^k}_{ij}}{\partial x^p}(\dot\gamma) - \dot\gamma^l\dot\gamma^m{\Gamma^p}_{lm}(\dot\gamma)\frac{\partial {\Gamma^k}_{ij}}{\partial y^p}(\dot\gamma) \right) \\ & - w^i\dot\gamma^j \left(u^p \frac{\partial {\Gamma^k}_{ij}}{\partial x^p}(\dot\gamma) + u^l\dot\gamma^m{\Gamma^p}_{lm}(\dot\gamma)\frac{\partial {\Gamma^k}_{ij}}{\partial y^p}(\dot\gamma) \right) \\ & + w^i u^j \dot\gamma^m \left({\Gamma^l}_{ij}(\dot\gamma){\Gamma^k}_{lm}(\dot\gamma) - {\Gamma^l}_{im}(\dot\gamma){\Gamma^k}_{lj}(\dot\gamma) \right) \Bigg] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + H_\gamma(u, w). \end{aligned}$$

Observing that $N^p_l(\dot\gamma) = \dot\gamma^m{\Gamma^p}_{lm}(\dot\gamma)$ (see (7)), we get (17). $\square$

Given a plane $\pi = \mathrm{span}\{v, u\}$ which is g_v-nondegenerate, we can define the quantity

$$K_v(u) = \frac{g_v(R^{\gamma_v}(v, u)u, v)}{L(v)g_v(u, u) - g_v(v, u)^2},$$

where γ_v is the geodesic with velocity v at $t = 0$. After Proposition 3.5, it is straightforward that this quantity is the flag curvature of L (see [6, Section 3.9]), since $H_{\gamma_v} = 0$.

Remark 3.6. Observe that when γ is a geodesic, the quantity

$$K_v(u, w) = \frac{g_v(R^{\gamma_v}(v, u)w, v)}{L(v)g_v(u, w) - g_v(v, u)g_v(v, w)}$$

is the predecessor of the flag curvature (see [6, page 69]).

4. VARIATION OF THE ENERGY

Let us define the energy functional associated to a quadratic Finsler manifold (M, L) for any L-admissible piecewise smooth curve $\gamma : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \to M$ as

$$E(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\int_a^b L(\dot\gamma)ds. \tag{18}$$

Let us see that the geodesics of (M, L) are the critical points of the energy functional. Let us compute the variations of E. Let $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ be an L-admissible piecewise smooth curve and consider a piecewise smooth variation $\Lambda : [a, b] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to M$, with breaks $t_0 = a < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_h < t_{h+1} = b$, namely, Λ is continuous in its domain and smooth in $[t_i, t_{i+1}] \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ for any $i = 0, \ldots, h$. We will use without further comment the notation in Subsection 3.1. We say that the variation Λ is L-admissible if γ_s is L-admissible for any $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Moreover, we will denote by W the variational vector field of Λ along γ, namely, $W(t) = \Lambda_s(t, 0)$ for any $t \in [a, b]$ and $\dot\gamma(t_i^+)$ and $\dot\gamma(t_i^-)$ the right and left derivatives of γ in the break t_i, $i = 1, \ldots, h$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let Λ be an L-admissible piecewise smooth variation of γ. With the above notation*

$$E'(0)=\frac{d}{ds}E(\gamma_s)\,|_{s=0}\,=-\int_a^b g_{\dot\gamma}(W,D^{\dot\gamma}_{\gamma}\dot\gamma)dt+\left[g_{\dot\gamma}(W,\dot\gamma)\right]_a^b+\sum_{i=1}^h g_{\dot\gamma}(W(t_i),\Delta\dot\gamma(t_i)),\tag{19}$$

where $\Delta\dot\gamma(t_i)=\dot\gamma(t_i^+)-\dot\gamma(t_i^-)$ for $i=1,\dots,h$.

Proof. As the variation is piecewise smooth, recalling Proposition 3.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds}E(\gamma_s)=&\frac{1}{2}\int_a^b\frac{d}{ds}g_{\dot\gamma_s}(\dot\gamma_s,\dot\gamma_s)dt\\ =&\int_a^b\big(g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\gamma_s,\dot\gamma_s)+C_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\gamma_s,\dot\gamma_s,\dot\gamma_s)\big)dt\\ =&\int_a^b g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t,\dot\gamma_s)dt,\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

where we have used first that the Chern connection is almost g-compatible and then Remark 2.9 and Proposition 3.3. Moreover, applying again that the Chern connection is almost g-compatible, we get

$$g_{\dot\gamma}(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\gamma}W,\dot\gamma)=\frac{d}{dt}\big(g_{\dot\gamma}(W,\dot\gamma)\big)-g_{\dot\gamma}(W,D^{\dot\gamma}_{\gamma}\dot\gamma),\tag{21}$$

because $C_{\dot\gamma}(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\gamma}\dot\gamma,W,\dot\gamma)=0$ (again by Remark 2.9), and substituting (21) in (20) with $s=0$ and integrating, we get finally (19). □

Let us denote by $C_L(M,[a,b])$ the subset of L-admissible piecewise smooth curves $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$ and for any $\gamma\in C_L(M,[a,b])$, define $T_\gamma C_L(M,[a,b])$ as the subset of L-admissible piecewise smooth vector fields along γ with the same breaks as γ. Proposition 4.1 allows us to define formally the differential of E in γ as the map $dE_\gamma:T_\gamma C(M,[a,b])\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$dE_\gamma(W)=-\int_a^b g_{\dot\gamma}(W,D^{\dot\gamma}_{\gamma}\dot\gamma)dt+\left[g_{\dot\gamma}(W,\dot\gamma)\right]_a^b+\sum_{i=1}^h g_{\dot\gamma}(W(t_i),\Delta\dot\gamma(t_i)),$$

for any $W\in T_\gamma C_L(M,[a,b])$. In fact, observe that given W, we can choose a variation $\Lambda:[a,b]\times(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\to M$ having W as a variation vector field. If Λ is C^1, by the continuity of A and the compactness of $[a,b]$, we can choose a smaller ε if necessary in such a way that Λ is L-admissible. When Λ is piecewise smooth, we can do the same thing in every interval $[t_i,t_{i+1}]$ for $i=0,\dots,h$ obtaining and $\varepsilon_i>0$ such that $\Lambda:[t_i,t_{i+1}]\times(-\varepsilon_i,\varepsilon_i)\to M$ is L-admissible. Finally we consider $\varepsilon=\min\{\varepsilon_0,\dots,\varepsilon_h\}$ to get the L-admissible variation of γ.

From now on, given a curve $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$ of a quadratic Finsler manifold and a vector field along γ, $W\in\mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$, we will denote $W'=D^{\dot\gamma}_{\gamma}W$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$ be a geodesic of (M,L) and consider an L-admissible smooth variation Λ. Then with the above notation*

$$\begin{aligned}E''(0)=&\frac{d^2}{ds^2}E(\gamma_s)\,|_{s=0}\\ =&\int_a^b\left(-g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,W)W,\dot\gamma)+g_{\dot\gamma}(W',W')\right)ds-\Big[g_{\dot\gamma}(D^{\dot\gamma}_{\beta_t}\dot\beta_t|_{s=0},\dot\gamma)\Big]_a^b,\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

where $D^{\dot\gamma}_{\beta_t}\dot\beta_t|_{s=0}$ *is the transverse acceleration vector field of the variation.*

Proof. We will use Remark 2.9 along the proof without further comment. Using (20) and the almost g-compatibility of the Chern connection, we get

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}E(\gamma_s) = \frac{d}{ds}\int_a^b g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t,\dot\gamma_s)dt = \int_a^b \frac{d}{ds}g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t,\dot\gamma_s)dt$$
$$= \int_a^b \left(g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t,\dot\gamma_s)+g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t,D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\gamma_s)\right)dt.$$

Now using that $D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t = D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\beta_t - R^{\gamma_s}(\dot\gamma_s,\dot\beta_t)\dot\beta_t$ (see Proposition 3.4),

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}E(\gamma_s) = \int_a^b \left(g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\beta_t - R^{\gamma_s}(\dot\gamma_s,\dot\beta_t)\dot\beta_t,\dot\gamma_s)+g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}\dot\beta_t,D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\gamma_s)\right)dt. \tag{23}$$

Finally, as $\gamma_0=\gamma$ is a geodesic, we have $g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s}D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\beta_t,\dot\gamma_s) = -\frac{d}{dt}(g_{\dot\gamma_s}(D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t}\dot\beta_t,\dot\gamma_s))$ for $s=0$, and using this in (23), integrating and recalling Proposition 3.3, we get (22). □

Observe that the transverse acceleration $D^{\dot\gamma}_{\beta_t}\dot\beta_t|_{s=0}$ depends not only on the vector W along γ, but on the variation Λ. We will see later that the dependence on the variation disappears when we put certain boundary conditions.

4.1. **Submanifolds and second fundamental form.**

We refer the reader to [25] for the basic notions and notation on submanifolds in semi-Riemannian manifolds. Let us assume that (M,L) is a quadratic Finsler manifold and $P\subset M$ a submanifold of M. Let us denote the tangent bundle of P as TP. We define the *normal bundle* $TP^\perp$ of P as the vectors $v\in A$ such that $\pi(v)\in P$ and $g_v(v,w)=0$ for every $w\in T_{\pi(v)}P$. Observe that $TP^\perp$ is not necessarily a fiber bundle over P since the fibers are cones, but they can have even different number of connected components in different points. What we have is a submersion

$$\pi: TP^\perp\rightarrow P,$$

where, with abuse of notation, we call π the restriction of the natural projection $\pi:TM\rightarrow M$. We will denote by $\mathfrak{X}(P)$ the subset of smooth sections of the fiber bundle TP over P and $\mathfrak{X}(P)^\perp$ the smooth sections of $\pi:TP^\perp\rightarrow P$. Moreover, we will denote by $\mathcal{F}(P)$ the subset of smooth real functions on P. Given $N\in\mathfrak{X}(P)^\perp$ we will denote, $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ the subset of smooth sections W of $\pi:TM\rightarrow P$, such that for every $p\in P$, $W(p)\in\text{span}\{N(p)\}$. Observe that $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ is not contained in $\mathfrak{X}(P)^\perp$, because the vector fields in $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ can have zeroes.

Definition 4.3. Fix $N\in\mathfrak{X}(P)^\perp$. Then

(i) we define the *second fundamental form* of P in the direction of N as the function $S^P_N:\mathfrak{X}(P)\times\mathfrak{X}(P)\rightarrow\mathfrak{X}(N)$ given by $S^P_N(U,W)=\text{nor}_N\nabla^N_U W$, where nor_N means in every $p\in P$ the g_N-projection to $\text{span}\{N(p)\}$.

(ii) we define the *normal second fundamental form* $\tilde S^P_N:\mathfrak{X}(P)\rightarrow\mathfrak{X}(P)$ as $\tilde S^P_N(U)=\tan_N\nabla^N_U N$, where $\tan_N$ means the g_N-projection to TP.

Proposition 4.4. *With the above notation, S^P_N is $\mathcal{F}(P)$-bilinear and symmetric and $\tilde{S}^P_N$ is $\mathcal{F}(P)$-linear. Moreover,*

$$g_N(S^P_N(U,W),N) = -g_N(\tilde{S}^P_N(U),W) \tag{24}$$

for every $U,W \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$.

Proof. Let us see that S^P_N is $\mathcal{F}(P)$-bilinear. This is immediate for the first variable. For the second one, let $f \in \mathcal{F}(P)$, then for $U,W \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$,

$$S^P_N(U,fW) = \mathrm{nor}_N \nabla^N_U(fW) = \mathrm{nor}_N(U(f)W + f\nabla^N_U(W)) = f\mathrm{nor}_N(\nabla^N_U W),$$

since W is tangent to P. For the symmetry,

$$S^P_N(U,W) - S^P_N(W,U) = \mathrm{nor}_N(\nabla^N_U W - \nabla^N_W U) = \mathrm{nor}_N[U,W] = 0,$$

since $[U,W]$ is tangent to P. Again it is straightforward to check that $\tilde{S}^P_N$ is $\mathcal{F}(P)$-linear. For (24), using that Chern connection is almost g-compatible, $g_N(N,W) = 0$ and Remark 2.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_N(S^P_N(U,W),N) &= g_N(\nabla^N_U W, N) \\ &= -g_N(W,\nabla^N_U N) - 2C_N(\nabla^N_U N, W, N) = -g_N(\tilde{S}^P_N(U),W), \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

4.2. **The endmanifold case.** Let us consider now the space of curves $C_L(P,Q) \in C_L(M,[a,b])$ joining two submanifolds P and Q of M, namely,

$$C_L(P,Q) = \{\gamma \in C_L(M,[a,b]) : \gamma(a) \in P, \gamma(b) \in Q\}.$$

When we consider a smooth (P,Q)-variation of $\gamma \in C_L(P,Q)$ by curves in $C_L(P,Q)$, the variational vector field is tangent to P and Q in the endpoints. Indeed, we will define

$$T_\gamma C_L(P,Q) = \{W \in T_\gamma C_L(M,[a,b]) : W(a) \in T_{\gamma(a)}P, W(b) \in T_{\gamma(b)}Q\}.$$

Moreover, we say that γ is a critical point of $E|_{C_L(P,Q)}$ if $dE_\gamma(W) = 0$ for every $W \in T_\gamma C_L(P,Q)$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\gamma \in C_L(P,Q)$. Then γ is a critical point of the energy functional $E|_{C_L(P,Q)}$ if and only if γ is a geodesic $g_{\dot\gamma}$-orthogonal to P and Q.*

Proof. Given $t_0 \in (a,b)$ an instant where γ is smooth, as the scalar product $g_{\dot\gamma}$ is non-degenerate, if we assume that $D^{\dot\gamma}_\gamma \dot\gamma \neq 0$, using bumpy functions we can choose a vector field W such that $g_{\dot\gamma}(D^{\dot\gamma}_\gamma \dot\gamma, W) > 0$ in a neighborhood of t_0 that does not contain breaks and zero everywhere else. Then using (19), we get a contradiction. Thus, γ must be a piecewise geodesic. Assume that $\Delta\dot\gamma_i \neq 0$ for some $i = 1,\ldots,h$. We can choose a variational vector field W_i such that $g_{\dot\gamma}(\Delta\dot\gamma(t_i), W(t_i)) > 0$ and it is zero in the other breaks. This gives a contradiction in (19), since γ is a critical point. Therefore, γ is a piecewise geodesic without breaks. Finally given $w \in T_{\gamma(a)}P$, construct a vector field W such that $W(a) = w$ and $W(b) = 0$. Then (19) implies that $g_{\dot\gamma(a)}(\dot\gamma(a),w) = 0$. Analogously, we show that for any $v \in T_{\gamma(b)}Q$, $g_{\dot\gamma(b)}(\dot\gamma(b),v) = 0$. The converse is trivial. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\gamma \in C_L(P,Q)$ be a geodesic of (M,L) that is $g_{\dot\gamma}$-orthogonal to P and Q in the endpoints and consider a smooth L-admissible (P,Q)-variation. Then*

$$E''(0) = \int_a^b (-g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, W)W, \dot\gamma) + g_{\dot\gamma}(W', W'))\, ds \\ + g_{\dot\gamma}(S^P_{\dot\gamma(a)}(W,W), \dot\gamma(a)) - g_{\dot\gamma}(S^Q_{\dot\gamma(b)}(W,W), \dot\gamma(b)),$$

where W is the variational vector field of the variation along γ.

Proof. It is a straightforward consequence of the definition of the second fundamental form in Definition 4.3 and (22). ☐

4.3. **The index form.** Given a geodesic γ of (M,L) that is $g_{\dot\gamma}$-orthogonal to P and Q in the endpoints, let us define the (P,Q)-index form of γ as

$$I^\gamma_{P,Q}(V,W) = \int_a^b (-g_T(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, V)W, \dot\gamma) + g_{\dot\gamma}(V', W'))\, ds \\ + g_{\dot\gamma}(S^P_{\dot\gamma(a)}(V,W), \dot\gamma(a)) - g_{\dot\gamma}(S^Q_{\dot\gamma(b)}(V,W), \dot\gamma(b)),$$

where $V, W \in T_\gamma C_L(P,Q)$.

Let us observe that the tensor B^V, defined in Proposition 3.1 for any L-admissible vector field V in an open subset $\Omega \subset M$, is well-defined along a curve γ whenever the first component is $\dot\gamma$ (see Proposition 3.4). Indeed, for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$, we will denote by $B^\gamma(\dot\gamma, X, Y, Z)$ the quantity obtained with any extension of X, Y, Z and $\dot\gamma$.

Lemma 4.7. *With the above notation, if γ is a geodesic of (M,L), then*

$$B^\gamma(\dot\gamma, X, Y, Z) = 0$$

when at least one of the vector fields X, Y, Z is $\dot\gamma$. Moreover, $B^V(X,Y,V,V) = 0$.

Proof. Let us denote by V an extension of $\dot\gamma$. Let us observe that $B^\gamma(\dot\gamma, \dot\gamma, Y, Z) = 0$ because B^V is anti-symmetric in the first two variables. Using the definition of B^γ, Remark 2.9 and taking into account that γ is a geodesic, we get

$$B^\gamma(\dot\gamma, X, \dot\gamma, Z) = -\nabla^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma} C_{\dot\gamma}(\nabla^V_X V, \dot\gamma, Z),$$

and using that $\nabla^{\dot\gamma}_{\dot\gamma} C_{\dot\gamma}$ is symmetric and (10),

$$B^\gamma(\dot\gamma, X, \dot\gamma, Z) = C_{\dot\gamma}(\nabla^{\dot\gamma}_\gamma \dot\gamma, \nabla^V_X V, Z) = 0,$$

and as B^V is symmetric in the last two components we also have that

$$B^\gamma(X, \dot\gamma, Z, \dot\gamma) = 0.$$

To check that $B^V(X,Y,V,V) = 0$, let us use Remark 2.9 and then the symmetry of $\nabla^V_X C_V$ and $\nabla^V_Y C_V$ and (10) to get

$$B^V(X,Y,V,V) = \nabla^V_Y C_V(\nabla^V_X V, V, V) - \nabla^V_X C_V(\nabla^V_Y V, V, V) \\ = -C_V(\nabla^V_Y V, \nabla^V_X V, V) + C_V(\nabla^V_X V, \nabla^V_Y V, V) = 0,$$

as required. ☐

Proposition 4.8. *The kernel of* $I^\gamma_{P,Q}$ *is given by the vector fields* $V\in T_\gamma C_L(P,Q)$ *such that*

$$V''=R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,V)\dot\gamma$$

and $\tan_{\dot\gamma}V'(a)=\tilde S^P_{\dot\gamma}(V(a))$ *and* $\tan_{\dot\gamma}V'(b)=\tilde S^Q_{\dot\gamma}(V(b))$.

Proof. Observe that $g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,V)W,\dot\gamma)=-g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,V)\dot\gamma,W)$, since by Lemma 4.7, $B^{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,V,W,\dot\gamma)=0$, and then using also that

$$g_{\dot\gamma}(V',W')=\frac{d}{dt}(g_{\dot\gamma}(V',W))-g_{\dot\gamma}(V'',W),$$

where we have used that the Chern connection is almost g-compatible and γ is a geodesic, the index form can also be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}I^\gamma_{P,Q}(V,W)=&\int_a^b(g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,V)\dot\gamma,W)-g_{\dot\gamma}(V'',W))\,ds\\&+[g_{\dot\gamma}(V',W)]_a^b+g_{\dot\gamma}(S^P_{\dot\gamma(a)}(V,W),\dot\gamma(a))-g_{\dot\gamma}(S^Q_{\dot\gamma(b)}(V,W),\dot\gamma(b)).\end{aligned}$$

This means that $V\in T_\gamma C_L(P,Q)$ belongs to the kernel of the index form if and only if $V''-R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,V)\dot\gamma=0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\dot\gamma}(D^{\dot\gamma}_\gamma V(a),W)-g_{\dot\gamma}(S^P_{\dot\gamma(a)}(V,W),\dot\gamma(a))=0,\\g_{\dot\gamma}(D^{\dot\gamma}_\gamma V(b),W)-g_{\dot\gamma}(S^Q_{\dot\gamma(b)}(V,W),\dot\gamma(b))=0,\end{aligned}$$

in the endpoints. Using (24), we get that $\tan_{\dot\gamma}V'(a)=\tilde S^P_{\dot\gamma}(V(a))$ and $\tan_{\dot\gamma}V'(b)=\tilde S^Q_{\dot\gamma}(V(b))$. □

4.4. **Jacobi fields and conjugate and focal points.**

Definition 4.9. Given an arbitrary geodesic $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$ of (M,L), let us define a *Jacobi field* of γ as a vector field J along γ satisfying

$$J''=R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,J)\dot\gamma.$$

Moreover, given a submanifold P such that $\gamma(a)\in P$ and $\dot\gamma(a)$ is $g_{\dot\gamma(a)}$-orthogonal to P, we say that a Jacobi field is *P-Jacobi* if $\tan_{\dot\gamma}J'(a)=\tilde S^P_{\dot\gamma}(J(a))$. Moreover, we say that an instant $t_0\in(a,b]$ is

(i) *conjugate* if there exists a Jacobi field J along γ such that $J(a)=J(t_0)=0$,
(ii) *P-focal* if there exists a P-Jacobi field J such that $J(t_0)=0$.

Observe that given a geodesic $\gamma:[a,b]\to M$ of a quadratic Finsler manifold, we can choose an orthonormal paralel basis of vector fields along γ. Choose an orthonormal basis $e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_n$ of $(T_{\gamma(a)}M,g_{\dot\gamma(a)})$, namely, a basis satisfying that $g_{\dot\gamma(a)}(e_i,e_j)=\varepsilon_i\delta_{ij}$, where $\varepsilon_i^2=1$, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta and $i,j=1\ldots,n$. Then define the parallel vector fields $E_1,E_2,\ldots,E_n$ along γ such that $E_i(a)=e_i$ for every $i=1,\ldots,n$. The fact that γ is a geodesic implies that $g_{\dot\gamma}(E_i,E_j)=\varepsilon_i\delta_{ij}$, since

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_{\dot\gamma}(E_i,E_j)=g_{\dot\gamma}(E_i',E_j)+g_{\dot\gamma}(E_i,E_j')=0,$$

where we have used that the Chern connection is almost g-compatible, γ is a geodesic and E_i and E_j are parallel along γ. We say that a variation is *geodesic* when it is given by geodesics.

Proposition 4.10. *Given a geodesic γ of (M,L), the vector field J along γ of a geodesic variation is a Jacobi field.*

Proof. With the notation of Subsection 3.1, using Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 and that γ_s is a geodesic, we get

$$J'' = D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s} D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s} \dot\beta_t\Big|_{s=0} = D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\gamma_s} D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta_t} \dot\gamma_s\Big|_{s=0} = R^{\gamma}(\dot\gamma, J)\dot\gamma,$$

as required. □

Lemma 4.11. *Let $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ be a geodesic of (M,L) with $\gamma(a) = p$. Then for any $v, w \in T_pM$, there exists a unique Jacobi field such that $J(a) = v$ and $J'(a) = w$.*

Proof. Let $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$ be a parallel orthonormal frame field of γ as above. Then any vector field along γ can be expressed as $Y = y^i E_i$ for certain functions $y_i : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the Jacobi equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{d^2(y^m)}{ds^2} = R^m_j y^j \tag{25}$$

with $m = 1, \dots, n$, where the coefficients are determined by the system

$$R^{\gamma}(\dot\gamma, E_j)\dot\gamma = R^m_j E_m$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, the initial conditions are equivalent to $y^i(a) = v^i$ and $\frac{dy^i}{ds}(a) = w^i$, where v^i and w^i are respectively the coordinates of v and w in the orthonormal basis $E_1(a), \dots, E_n(a)$. By the theory of ordinary differential equations, the system in (25) is uniquely determined by these initial conditions and the solution exists in the whole interval $[a,b]$. □

Proposition 4.12. *Let p be a point of a quadratic Finsler manifold (M,L) and $v \in T_pM \cap A$ that belongs to the domain of $\exp_p$. For any $w \in T_v(T_pM)$, we have*

$$d\exp_p(v)[w] = V(1),$$

where V is the unique Jacobi field on γ_v such that $V(0) = 0$ and $V'(0) = w$.

Proof. Consider the variation $\tilde\Lambda(t,s) = t(v + sw)$ for $0 \le t \le 1$ and s small enough. Then

$$\Lambda(t,s) = \exp_p(\tilde\Lambda(t,s)) = \gamma_{v+sw}(t).$$

By Lemma 4.11, the variational vector field $V(t) = \Lambda_s(t,0)$ is a Jacobi field. Moreover, as the curve $s \to \Lambda(0,s) = p$ is constant, $V(0) = 0$ and if we denote by β the constant curve with value p and $\gamma_s = \gamma_{v+sw}$, from Proposition 3.3, we get

$$V'(0) = D^{\dot\gamma_s}_{\beta}\dot\gamma_s(0) = w,$$

since $\dot\gamma_s(0) = v + sw$. □

Given a geodesic $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$ of a quadratic Finsler manifold, denote by $\dot\gamma^\perp = \{v \in T_{\dot\gamma}M : g_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma, v) = 0\}$. Then

$$T_{\dot\gamma}M = \operatorname{span}(\dot\gamma) \oplus \dot\gamma^\perp. \tag{26}$$

Moreover, if $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\gamma)$, let us denote by $\tan_\gamma(Y)$ and $\operatorname{nor}_\gamma(Y)$ the first and second projection in the decomposition (26).

Lemma 4.13. *With the above notation, if $L(\dot\gamma) \neq 0$, $(\tan_\gamma(Y))' = \tan_\gamma(Y')$ and $(\operatorname{nor}_\gamma(Y))' = \operatorname{nor}_\gamma(Y')$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $(\tan_\gamma(Y))' = \tan_\gamma(Y')$, since $Y = \tan_\gamma(Y) + \mathrm{nor}_\gamma(Y)$. Observe that $g_{\dot\gamma}(Y,\dot\gamma) = g_{\dot\gamma}(\tan_\gamma(Y),\dot\gamma)$, and using that γ is a geodesic and the Chern connection is almost g-compatible, we get that $g_{\dot\gamma}(Y',\dot\gamma) = g_{\dot\gamma}((\tan_\gamma(Y))',\dot\gamma)$, which concludes because $g_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma) = L(\dot\gamma) \neq 0$. ☐

Lemma 4.14. *Consider a vector field J along a geodesic $\gamma : [a,b] \to M$. Then if J is a Jacobi field:*

(i) J is tangent to γ if and only if $J = (as+b)\dot\gamma$,
(ii) the following statements are equivalent:
  (a) $g_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma, J) = 0$,
  (b) there exist a, b such that $g_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma(a), J(a)) = g_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma(b), J(b)) = 0$,
  (c) there exists a such that $g_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma(a), J(a)) = g_{\dot\gamma}(\dot\gamma(a), J'(b)) = 0$.

Moreover, if γ is nonnull, that is, $L(\dot\gamma) \neq 0$, then J is a Jacobi field if and only if $\mathrm{nor}_\gamma J$ and $\tan_\gamma J$ are Jacobi fields.

Proof. For (i), observe that $R^\gamma(\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma) = 0$ because R^γ is antisymmetric in the first two variables (see Proposition 3.1). Then for $J = f\dot\gamma$ Jacobi equation reduces to $\frac{df^2}{dt^2} = 0$. For (ii), observe that since γ is a geodesic,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(g_{\dot\gamma}(J,\dot\gamma)) = g_{\dot\gamma}(J'',\dot\gamma) = g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, J)\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma),$$

and observe that from part (ii) of Proposition 3.1, we deduce that the last term is zero, because the B term which appears there is zero (see Lemma 4.7). Hence $g_{\dot\gamma}(J,\dot\gamma) = At + B$ and $g_{\dot\gamma}(J',\dot\gamma) = A$, thus (ii) follows. For the last statement, observe that $R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, \tan_\gamma J) = 0$ and then $R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, J) = R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, \mathrm{nor}_\gamma J)$. Using again that $g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, J)\dot\gamma,\dot\gamma) = 0$ and Lemma 4.13, the Jacobi equation splits into the two equations

$$(\tan_\gamma J)'' = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\mathrm{nor}_\gamma J)'' = R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, \mathrm{nor}_\gamma J)\dot\gamma.$$

Finally applying part (i) we conclude. ☐

Proposition 4.15. *If J_1 and J_2 are Jacobi fields along a geodesic γ, then*

$$g_{\dot\gamma}(J_1, D_\gamma^{\dot\gamma} J_2) - g_{\dot\gamma}(D_\gamma^{\dot\gamma} J_1, J_2)$$

is constant.

Proof. Observe that using that γ is a geodesic and J_1 and J_2 satisfy the Jacobi equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(g_{\dot\gamma}(J_1, J_2') - g_T(J_1', J_2)) =& g_{\dot\gamma}(J_1', J_2') + g_{\dot\gamma}(J_1, J_2'') \\ &- g_{\dot\gamma}(J_1', J_2') - g_{\dot\gamma}(J_1'', J_2) \\ =& g_{\dot\gamma}(J_1, R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, J_2)\dot\gamma) - g_{\dot\gamma}(R^\gamma(\dot\gamma, J_1)\dot\gamma, J_2),\end{aligned}$$

which is zero (see (11) and Lemma 4.7). ☐

4.5. **Remarks about Morse theory.** Let us observe that in principle, there should not be further obstructions to prove that geodesics of a quadratic Finsler metric are critical points of the energy functional in a suitable infinite dimensional H^1-Sobolev space, for example, by generalizing the proof in [12, Proposition 2.1]. But in order to make Morse theory available, we need to overcome several problems.

The first one is that Palais-Smale condition only holds in general when the quadratic Finsler metric is in fact a Finsler metric (with positive definite fundamental tensor), since it is well-known that Palais-Smale condition fails for semi-Riemannian metrics. The second problem is the differentiability of the energy function in the H^1 Sobolev space, because it is C^2 only when the quadratic Finsler metric is semi-Riemannian (see [1, Proposition 3.2] and [10]). This has been overcome in the case of Finsler metrics using that the energy functional is C^2 in the C^1-topology (see [11, 13]). The third problem is that when A is strictly contained in $TM \setminus \mathbf{0}$, the space of L-admissible curves can be non-complete, thus it seems interesting to study conditions of completeness in the quadratic Finsler metric to guarantee the validity of the Morse theory as in [11, 13]. In [14] some results of geodesic connectedness of conic Finsler metrics are deduced using Causality of spacetimes endowed with a Killing vector. In the general case, when the fundamental tensor is allowed to have any signature, Lemma 4.15 is the key point to develop a relation between the spectral flow of a certain path of operators and the Maslov index of conjugate points as in [27]. In the presence of a Killing vector field, more precise results have been obtained in the Lorentzian realm [9, 16, 17] and it is expectable to get similar results for Lorentz-quadratic Finsler metrics.

Acknowledgments

I would like to warmly acknowledge A. R. Martínez and Professors M. Alexandrino, E. Caponio, and M. Sánchez for a critical reading of some of the results contained in this manuscript.

References

[1] A. Abbondandolo and M. Schwarz, *A smooth pseudo-gradient for the Lagrangian action functional*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 9 (2009), pp. 597–623.

[2] J. C. Álvarez Paiva and C. E. Durán, *Isometric submersions of Finsler manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129 (2001), pp. 2409–2417.

[3] M. Anastasiei, *A historical remark on the connections of Chern and Rund*, in Finsler geometry (Seattle, WA, 1995), vol. 196 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 171–176.

[4] P. L. Antonelli, R. S. Ingarden, and M. Matsumoto, *The theory of sprays and Finsler spaces with applications in physics and biology*, vol. 58 of Fundamental Theories of Physics, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.

[5] D. Bao and S. S. Chern, *On a notable connection in Finsler geometry*, Houston J. Math., 19 (1993), pp. 135–180.

[6] D. Bao, S.-S. Chern, and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[7] J. K. Beem, *Indefinite Finsler spaces and timelike spaces*, Canad. J. Math., 22 (1970), pp. 1035–1039.

[8] J. K. Beem and M. A. Kishta, *On generalized indefinite Finsler spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 23 (1973/74), pp. 845–853.

[9] A. M. Candela, J. L. Flores, and M. Sánchez, *Global hyperbolicity and Palais-Smale condition for action functionals in stationary spacetimes*, Adv. Math., 218 (2008), pp. 515–536.

[10] E. Caponio, *The index of a geodesic in a Randers space and some remarks about the lack of regularity of the energy functional of a Finsler metric*, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.), 26 (2010), pp. 265–274.

[11] E. Caponio, M. Á. Javaloyes, and A. Masiello, *Morse theory of causal geodesics in a stationary spacetime via Morse theory of geodesics of a Finsler metric*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 27 (2010), pp. 857–876.

[12] E. Caponio, M. Á. Javaloyes, and A. Masiello, *On the energy functional on Finsler manifolds and applications to stationary spacetimes*, Math. Ann., 351 (2011), pp. 365–392.

[13] E. Caponio, M. Á. Javaloyes, and A. Masiello, *Addendum to "Morse theory of causal geodesics in a stationary spacetime via Morse theory of geodesics of a Finsler metric", Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 27 (2010) 857–876*, arXiv:1211.3071 [math.DG], (2012), to appear in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire.

[14] E. Caponio, M. Á. Javaloyes, and M. Sánchez, *Wind Finsler and Kropina-Randers metrics for spacetimes with a Killing field*, preprint.

[15] S.-S. Chern, *On the Euclidean connections in a Finsler space*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 29 (1943), pp. 33–37.

[16] F. Giannoni and P. Piccione, *An intrinsic approach to the geodesical connectedness of stationary Lorentzian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 7 (1999), pp. 157–197.

[17] M. Á. Javaloyes, A. Masiello, and P. Piccione, *Pseudo focal points along Lorentzian geodesics and Morse index*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 10 (2010), pp. 53–82.

[18] M. Á. Javaloyes and M. Sánchez, *On the definition and examples of Finsler metrics*, arXiv:1111.5066 [math.DG], to appear in Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.

[19] M. Á. Javaloyes and H. Vitório, *Zermelo navigation and wind Finsler metrics*, preprint, (2013).

[20] J. Kern, *Lagrange geometry*, Arch. Math. (Basel), 25 (1974), pp. 438–443.

[21] Z. Kovács and A. Tóth, *On the geometry of two-step nilpotent groups with left invariant Finsler metrics*, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.), 24 (2008), pp. 155–168.

[22] H.-H. Matthias, *Zwei Verallgemeinerungen eines Satzes von Gromoll und Meyer*, Bonner Mathematische Schriften [Bonn Mathematical Publications], 126, Universität Bonn Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1980. Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, 1980.

[23] A. Moór, *Entwicklung einer Geometrie der allgemeinen metrischen Linienelementräume*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, 17 (1956), pp. 85–120.

[24] A. Nazim, *Über Finslersche Räume*. Dissertation, München, 1936.

[25] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry*, vol. 103 of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1983. With applications to relativity.

[26] V. Perlick, *Fermat principle in Finsler spacetimes*, Gen. Relativity Gravitation, 38 (2006), pp. 365–380.

[27] P. Piccione, A. Portaluri, and D. V. Tausk, *Spectral flow, Maslov index and bifurcation of semi-Riemannian geodesics*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 25 (2004), pp. 121–149.

[28] H.-B. Rademacher, *Nonreversible Finsler metrics of positive flag curvature*, in A sampler of Riemann-Finsler geometry, vol. 50 of Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 261–302.

[29] H.-B. Rademacher, *A sphere theorem for non-reversible Finsler metrics*, Math. Ann., 328 (2004), pp. 373–387.

[30] H. Rund, *The differential geometry of Finsler spaces*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bd. 101, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959.

[31] Z. Shen, *Differential geometry of spray and Finsler spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.

[32] O. Varga, *Zur Herleitung des invarianten Differentials in Finslerschen Räumen*, Monatsh. Math. Phys., 50 (1941), pp. 165–175.

Departamento de Matemáticas,
Universidad de Murcia,
Campus de Espinardo,
30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain
E-mail address: `majava@um.es`